

1 EDDIE R. JIMENEZ (SBN 10376)  
2 ejimenez@aldridgeppte.com  
3 **ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP**  
4 7220 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140  
Las Vegas, NV 89113  
Telephone: (858) 750-7600  
Facsimile: (619) 590-1385

5 **Mailing Address:**  
6 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200  
P.O. Box 17933  
7 San Diego, California 92177-0933

8 Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company

9 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 In re  
12 SCHULTE PROPERTIES LLC,  
13 Debtor.

Case No. 18-12734-mkn

Chapter 11

14  
15 **FIFTH THIRD MORTGAGE  
COMPANY'S REPLY TO DEBTOR'S  
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR  
PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR  
MODIFICATION OF SUBPOENA**

16  
17 **Hearing:**

18 Date: July 28, 2021  
19 Time: 9:30 am  
20 Judge: Honorable Mike K. Nakagawa  
Courtroom: 2

21  
22  
23 *Creditor* Fifth Third Mortgage Company ("Fifth Third") hereby submits its *Reply*  
24 ("Reply") to Debtor's Opposition to Motion for Protective Order ("Opposition"). (Dkt No. 870).

25 /./.

26 /./.

27 /./.

28 /./.

## I. RELEVANT FACTS

On April 30, 2001, Borrower executed a promissory note in the principal sum of \$152,000.00 (the “Note”). The Note is secured by a deed of trust (the “Deed of Trust”) encumbering the property located at 5218 Misty Morning Drive Las Vegas NV 89118 (“Property”). The Deed of Trust was assigned to Fifth Third. (See Claim No. 4-2).

On May 10, 2018, Debtor commenced the instant Bankruptcy Case by filing a third voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and was assigned case number 18-12734-mkn (the “Bankruptcy Case”).

On October 11, 2018, Fifth Third filed its Amended Proof of Claim reflecting a secured claim of \$152,080.87, including *pre-petition* arrears of \$29,082.85 (the “Claim”). (See Claim No. 4-2). The Claim complies with the terms of the Confirmed Plan from the First Case. The Claim included all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history to support the validity and amount of the Claim. To date, the Debtor has yet to object to the Claim. The Claim is entitled to a presumption of validity under the Federal Bankruptcy Rules. As a result, the Debtor is already in possession of all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history for the Subject Loan.

On February 27, 2019, Debtor filed its proposed Chapter 11 Plan (“Plan”) and Disclosure Statement. (Dkt Nos. 201-202).

On November 20, 2019, Fifth Third filed an *Objection to Confirmation of the Debtor’s Amended Plan* (“Plan Objection”). (Dkt No. 601). Following hearings on approval of the Disclosure Statement and Plan, the Court authorized the Parties to proceed with discovery.

During the discovery period, the Debtor served *Requests for Production of Documents* (“Discovery Requests”) on Fifth Third.

On May 29, 2021, Fifth Third responded to the Discovery Requests with hundreds of pages of documents (“Discovery Responses”). Specifically, Fifth Third provided Debtor with copies of the loan documents, filed claim, filed pleadings, payment histories, monthly statements, escrow statements, and communications with the borrower. However, Fifth Third objected to several requests as irrelevant, burdensome, overbroad, vague, proprietary, confidential, or subject to privilege. Debtor failed to meet and confer regarding the Discovery Responses, file a motion to

1 compel seeking additional production, or request a ruling on Fifth Third's objections to the  
 2 Discovery Requests. In the meantime, the discovery period expired. (See Declaration of Eddie  
 3 Jimenez ("Declaration"), ¶5-6).

4 On May 28, 2021, the Debtor filed a *Notice of Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum*  
 5 ("Subpoena") requesting production of documents from twenty-seven (27) loan  
 6 servicers/creditors, including Fifth Third, related to the Debtor's dozens of real properties. The  
 7 Debtor now seeks blanket, identical, production of all documents at outlined in the Motion. (See  
 8 Subpoena, Exhibit 88).

9 Following the issuance of the Subpoena, Aldridge Pite sent a Meet & Confer letter to the  
 10 Debtor's counsel seeking to resolve the Subpoena and seeking clarification of any discoverable  
 11 documents the Debtor believed Fifth Third failed to produce. To date, Aldridge Pite has yet to  
 12 receive a substantive response from the Debtor's counsel. (See Declaration, ¶8).

13 On June 11, 2021, Fifth Third filed a *Motion for Protective Order or, in the Alternative,*  
 14 *Motion for Modification of Subpoena* ("Motion"). (Dkt No. 861). In the Motion Fifth Third  
 15 asserted:

- 16 • The Parties already engaged in extensive discovery, including production of documents,  
 17 following the hearing on approval of the Disclosure Statement in 2019. Specifically, Fifth  
 18 Third responded to Requests for Production of Documents, and provided Debtor with  
 19 hundreds of pages of documents at great time and expense. The Debtor now seeks  
 20 production of the **same documents**. As a result, Fifth Third asserted the documents Debtor  
 21 requested were either: (i) already produced by Fifth Third; (ii) equally available to the  
 22 Debtor; or (iii) objectionable as outlined in Fifth Third's Responses to the original  
 23 production requests.
- 24 • To the extent Debtor was dissatisfied with Fifth Third's Responses to the production  
 25 requests, Debtor failed to meet and confer regarding the Responses, file a motion to compel  
 26 seeking additional production, or request a ruling on Fifth Third's objections to the  
 27 discovery requests. In the meantime, the discovery period expired.

28

- The documents requested are overbroad and unlimited in scope. Thus, the requests are calculated to annoy, embarrass, or oppress Fifth Third. To comply with these requests (again) would be an undue burden and expense on Fifth Third. To the extent the Court determines additional document production is warranted, Fifth Third requested an order modifying the scope of Subpoena as explained in the Motion.

On June 16, 2021, Aldridge Pite sent a **second** follow up letter to the Debtor’s counsel seeking to resolve the Subpoena, and seeking clarification of any discoverable documents the Debtor believed Fifth Third failed to produce. Further, Fifth Third requested the withdrawal of the Subpoena.

On June 30, 2021, Debtor filed a *Limited Opposition to Motion for Protective Order* (“Opposition”). (Dkt No. 870). In the Opposition, Debtor alleged: (i) Debtor is not requesting documents already produced in this matter; (ii) Debtor is only requesting documents, which have yet to be provided or are not accessible by the Debtor; and (iii) Debtor is requesting documents to have a complete loan file to facilitate the filing of claim objections.

On July 1, 2021, Aldridge Pite sent a **third** follow up letter to the Debtor’s counsel seeking to resolve the Subpoena, and seeking clarification of any discoverable documents the Debtor believed Fifth Third failed to produce. Further, Fifth Third requested the withdrawal of the Subpoena. To date, Debtor has yet to produce Fifth Third with a substantive response. Nor has the Debtor withdrawn the Subpoena.

## II. REPLY

First, Debtor alleges it is not requesting documents already produced, but only requesting documents, which have yet to be produced, or are inaccessible by the Debtor. (See Opposition, ¶¶1-2). However, this is untrue. Through the Subpoena, Debtor's requests blanket, identical, production of all documents related to the Loan. (See Subpoena, Exhibit 95). For instance, Debtor requested copies of the Loan documents, communication(s) with the Borrower, payment histories, and monthly statements sent to the Borrower. Fifth Third asserts said documents were already produced and are equally available to the Debtor. As discussed above, the Parties already engaged in extensive discovery production following the hearing on approval of the Disclosure Statement

1 in 2019. Specifically, Fifth Third responded to multiple Requests for Production of Documents  
2 and provided the Debtor with thousands of pages of documents at great time and expense. Further,  
3 Fifth Third produced documents to the Debtor during the Judicial Settlement Conference. The  
4 Debtor now seeks production of the **same documents**.

5 Second, Debtor failed to articulate with specificity, which documents are missing, or  
6 should be produced by Fifth Third (again). It is unreasonable for Fifth Third to reproduce all  
7 documents based on the Debtor's failure to keep accurate records or track of documents produced  
8 with prior discovery responses. As a result, Fifth Third asserts the documents requested in the  
9 Subpoena were either: (i) already produced to the Debtor; (ii) equally available to the Debtor; or  
10 (iii) objectionable as outlined in Fifth Third's Discovery Responses to the original production  
11 requests and again in the Motion.

12 Third, Debtor asserts it is "requesting documents to have a complete loan file to better  
13 respond to objection claims in this matter." (See Opposition, ¶3). However, Debtor fails to explain  
14 why Fifth Third should be burdened with time and expenses to streamline the Debtor's litigation.  
15 Fifth Third already cooperated with prior production requests and should not be forced to incur  
16 additional fees and resources every time the Debtor wishes to pursue litigation, and is unable to  
17 locate prior records. Again, Debtor failed to explain which documents Fifth Third failed to  
18 produce.

19 Fourth, Debtor failed to resolve the discovery dispute in good faith. As outlined above,  
20 Fifth Third sent three (3) Meet and Confer Letters to the Debtor's counsel seeking to resolve the  
21 Subpoena, and seeking clarification of any discoverable documents the Debtor believed Fifth Third  
22 failed to produce. On each occasion, Fifth Third requested the withdrawal of the Subpoena to  
23 circumvent the need for Fifth Third to incur additional costs associated with responding to the  
24 Subpoena and/or seeking a Protective Order. To date, the Debtor has yet to provide a substantive  
25 response to Fifth Third explaining which exact documents Debtor alleges Fifth Third failed to  
26 produce in prior discovery. Nor has the Debtor withdrawn the Subpoena.

27

28

Finally, to the extent Fifth Third did not produce a given document during the discovery period, Fifth Third raised appropriate objections in its Discovery Responses as outlined in the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, Fifth Third asserts the Court should issue a Protective Order as outlined in the Motion.

**WHEREFORE**, Fifth Third respectfully requests:

1. The court grant the Motion;
2. In the alternative, that the Court modify the Subpoena as outlined in the Motion;
3. Grant Citi its fees and costs associated with responding to the Subpoena, seeking the Protective Order, and prosecuting the present Motion; and
4. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted:

## ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP

Dated: July 13, 2021

/s/ Eddie R. Jimenez  
EDDIE R. JIMENEZ  
Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company

1 EDDIE R. JIMENEZ (SBN 10376)  
2 ejimenez@aldridgeppte.com  
3 **ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP**  
4 7220 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140  
5 Las Vegas, NV 89113  
6 Telephone: (858) 750-7600  
7 Facsimile: (619) 590-1385

5 **Mailing Address:**  
6 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200  
7 P.O. Box 17933  
8 San Diego, California 92177-0933

8 Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company

10  
11 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 In re  
14 SCHULTE PROPERTIES LLC,  
15 Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 18-12734-mkn  
Chapter 11

16  
17 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lauren Timby declare that:

I am employed by Aldridge Pite, LLP. My business address is: 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200; P.O. Box 17933, San Diego, CA 92177-0933. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this cause.

On July 13, 2021, I caused the **REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF SUBPOENA** to be served on the parties listed herein via electronic means through the Court's CM/ECF system or by placing a copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail, addressed as follows:

26 /./.

27 /./.

28 /./.

1 Schulte Properties LLC  
2 9811 W. Charleston Blvd Ste 2-351  
Las Vegas, NV 89117

Matthew L. Johnson  
Johnson & Gubler, P.C.  
8831 West Sahara Avenue  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
annabelle@mjohnsonlaw.com

4  
5 U.S. Trustee  
6 Department of Justice  
300 Las Vegas Boulevard, SO.  
Suite 4300 Las Vegas, NV 89101  
USTPRegion17.LV. ECF@usdoj.gov  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

/s/ Lauren Timby

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28